Bangl. J. Vet. Med. (2020). 18 (2): 37–46 ISSN: 1729-7893 (Print), 2308-0922 (Online)

Received: 20-08-2020; Accepted: 14-12-2020 DOI: https://doi.org/10.33109/bjvmjd2020rm2

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

# Bovine dermatophilosis: awareness, knowledge, perception and drug usage practices amongst cattle herders in Oyo State, Nigeria

S. C. Olaogun,\*<sup>1,2</sup>, R. O. Ifarajimi, H. A. Muhammad<sup>1</sup>

- 1. Department of Veterinary Medicine, University of Ibadan, Ibadan, Nigeria.
- 2. Department of Production Animal Studies, Faculty of Veterinary Science, University of Pretoria, Onderstepoort 0110, Pretoria, South Africa.

#### **Abstract**

**Background**: Economic and zoonotic importance of bovine dermatophilosis in tropical countries and Nigeria in particular cannot be underestimated. We therefore conducted a cross-sectional study to assess cattle breeders' awareness, perceptions and practices with regard to bovine dermatophilosis in Oyo State.

**Methods**: The survey was conducted from June to December 2017 across the four geopolitical zones, which includes; Ibarapa, Oyo/Ogbomosho, Oke ogun and Ibadan. A total of 400 cattle breeders were interviewed using well-structured questionnaires. The data obtained were analysed on SPSS for frequency and percentages.

**Results:** The results revealed high awareness of dermatophilosis among the breeders. However, 388/400 (97%) were not aware of its' zoonotic importance. Nearly 49% engaged in self-treatment, while only 44/400 (11%) sought for veterinary intervention. Majority (97%) of the respondents disclosed to have used drugs for treatment. However, 94% did not adhere to manufacturer's instruction while 8/400 (2%) were unaware of manufacturer's instruction. More than 90% were unaware of withdrawal period in administering drugs, 16/400 (4%) observed it and 16/400 (4%) did not always observe withdrawal period when administering drugs. About 96% agreed that the drugs were effective while 8/400 (2%) were not sure about drugs effectiveness.

**Conclusions**: Despite the high awareness of dermatophilosis by cattle rearers in Oyo state, the knowledge, practices and perception of farmers about the disease are still generally very low. Therefore, further education for the cattle breeders and the general public on zoonotic importance of bovine dermatophilosis is required.

Key words: Cattle, Zoonosis, Cattle breeders, Questionnaire, Interview

Copyright © 2020 Bangladesh Society for Veterinary Medicine. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

<sup>\*</sup>Correspondence: charle.sunday@yahoo.com All right reserved 0457/2020

#### Introduction

Dermatophilosis is a contagious zoonotic skin disease caused by gram positive bacterium (*dermatophilus congolensis*) members of aerobic actinomycete (Dalis *et al.*, 2010). It is being manifested by exudative, proliferative or hyperkeratotic dermatitis, associated by the production of crusts. (Yeruham *et al.*, 2003). *Dermatophilus congolensis* is sensitive to pH and osmotic changes, and there is no direct evidence that it multiplies in the external environment or is able to survive for long periods on the ground. Transmission is by direct contact with infected animals or indirectly via contaminated objects or flies (Quinn *et al.*, 2002).

Dermatophilosis has been described as one major cattle disease that poses serious adverse socioeconomic consequences to cattle breeders and government in Nigeria (Olaogun and Onwuzuruike, 2018). The clinical occurrence of dermatophilosis in cattle had been reported to be highest (48%) in Ibadan and lowest 8% in Ibarapa zones of Oyo state (Olaogun and Onwuzuruike, 2018). This disease is one important factor limiting the development of the dairy sector (Dejene et al., 2012). In Nigeria, introduction of exotic breeds in order to improve meat and the development of the country dairy sector have been frustrated due to devastating effects of dermatophilosis on the mammary glands and general health of the animals. The greater incidence of dermatophilosis, failure of treatment, economic wastages associated with its management, huge economic losses associated with rejection of Nigerian hides in international trade, indiscriminate use of antibiotics and its zoonotic importance were reported (Cadmus and Adesokan, 2009; Awad et.al., 2008; Amor et.al., 2011). Several studies have been conducted on bovine dermatophilosis in Nigeria which includes incidence and biochemical parameters associated with bovine dermatophilosis (Olaogun and Onwuzuruike, 2018), bacteria associated with bovine dermatophilosis in Nigeria (Dalis et al., 2010), control strategies of bovine dermatophilosis (Oyekunle and Talabi, 2013), phylogenetic analysis of dermatophilus congolensis (Oladunni et al., 2016), bovine dermatophilosis and its effects reproduction (Ogwu et al., 1981), effects of chronic dermatophilosis on semen quality (Iliyasu and Omonike, 2015). However, there is scarcity of information on cattle breeders' awareness, attitude,

perception and antibiotics usage practices among cattle breeders in Nigeria. This study therefore aimed to establish the level of awareness, knowledge, attitude and drugs use practices among cattle herders on bovine dermatophilosis in Oyo state Nigeria.

#### **Materials and Methods**

## **Location of Study**

The study was conducted across the 4 geopolitical zones of Oyo state, Nigeria. The zones includes; Ibadan, Ogbomoso/ Oyo, Oke-Ogun, and Ibarapa, all belonging to the Yoruba family and indigenous cities in Africa. Oyo State is one of the largest states in Nigeria. It lies within longitudes 2o 48' and 4o 36' E and latitudes 70 3' and 90 13' N. The rainfall pattern is high with average of about 1200 - 1350 mm per annum. Temperature varies between 27°C and 32°C with relative humidity from 70% to 90% (Ajayi and Ibikunle, 2013). The vegetation pattern is that of rain forest in the South and guinea savannah in the North. The state is bounded in the North by Kwara State, in the East by Osun State, in the South by Ogun State and in the West partly by Ogun State and partly by the Republic of Benin.

# Sample size estimation.

The sample size was calculated using the Open Source Epidemiologic Statistics for Public Health (OpenEpi) 2.3 software (Dean *et al.*, 2009), with power (hypothesized percentage frequency of outcome factor in the population or p) set at 50% and 5% margin of error at 95% confidence level. A sample size of 384 breeders was estimated; however a total of 400 breeders were interviewed with 100 in each of the four geopolitical zones of Oyo state for equal representation.

#### **Study Design**

A questionnaire based survey and interview were conducted among cattle herders in Oyo state from June to December, 2017 to determine the knowledge, awareness, perceptions and practices of cattle breeders with respect to dermatophilosis in cattle. The questionnaires were administered to 400 cattle breeders (Miyetti Allah Cattle breeder) at their kraals and cattle markets. The questionnaire was divided into four main sections: 1) General information (2) Cattle demography (3) History and knowledge of

Awareness, knowledge, perception and drug usage practices amongst cattle

bovine dermatophilosis (4) Practices and attitude of cattle breeders about prevention and management of dermatophilosis.

#### Methods

A total of 400 questionnaires were administered with 100 questionnaires in each of the four zones to the cattle breeders at their Kraal and their weekly cattle market. Igbo Ora and Igangan communities represents Ibarapa zone, Iseyin communities represents Okeogun zone, Oyo communities represents Oyo/Ogbomoso zone and Akinyele and Ido communities represents Ibadan zone. All the 400 participants responded to the questionnaires, which yields a response rate of 100%.

# Statistical analysis

The obtained data were recorded into Microsoft Excel 7 (Microsoft Corporation, Redmond, WA, USA)

spreadsheet and transferred to EpiInfo 3.4.3 (CDC, Atlanta, GA, USA) for analysis. Descriptive analysis was performed and results expressed in frequencies and percentages.

## **Results and Discussion**

Table 1 shows general demography of cattle breeders in Oyo State, Nigeria. Findings revealed that all respondents were male 100%. They all adopt extensive system of management with grass/pasture grazing as their main source of animal feed. 88% of the respondents had no formal education. About 62% of the respondents had more than twenty years of rearing experience; 26% had twenty years of rearing experience while only 1% of the respondents had 5 years of rearing experience.

Table 1. General demography of cattle breeders in Oyo state, Nigeria

Variables	Categories	Frequency	Percentage
Sex	Male	400	100%
	Female	0	0%
Age	Less than 20	0	0%
	20-30	88	22%
	30-40	120	30%
	40-50	128	32%
	Above 50	64	16%
Level of education	Primary	16	4%
	Secondary	16	4%
	Tertiary	16	4%
	No formal education	352	88%
Period of rearing	5 years	4	1%
	10 years	44	11%
	20 years	104	26%
	More than 20years	248	62%
Sources of water	Well	36	9%
	Borehole	4	1%
	River/stream	360	90%
	Rural household/ backyard	0	0%
	Extensive	400	100%
System of management	Semi-intensive	0	0%
-	Concentrate	0	0%
Type of feeds	Grazing grass	400	100%
	Mixed feed	0	0%

This study, to our knowledge, is the first to investigate breeders' knowledge/awareness and drugs usage practises about bovine dermatophilosis in Oyo state Nigeria. This is essential for the effective prevention and control of bovine dermatophilosis.

The breeders' demographic structure in this study is similar to what have been previously described by (Abiola *et al.*, 2019) in their work on Socio-Demographic Structure and Constraints of Smallholder Dairy Farmers in Oyo State, Nigeria.

This also agrees with the findings of Nyaguthii *et al.* (2019) in their work on study population of small-scale dairy farmers in Nakuru County, Kenya.

The is also similar to what have been described by Iro, 1994 in his book titled 'The Fulani Herding System'. Farmers' years of rearing experience was also similar to what have been reported by Kumar and Tripathi (2012) who reported that most farmers had 10-25 years of experience in livestock farming in their work on socio-economic profile of dairy farmers in India. The extensive system of management practiced by all the respondents is in agreement to what Shitu in

1985 described as Nigerian system of animal husbandry (Shitu, 1985).

Table 2 shows herds' demographic structure in Oyo state, Nigeria. The herd structure indicated that 55% of the breeders had 1 herd of cattle; 16% had 2 herds; 11% had 3 herds while 18% of the breeders had more than 3 herds of cattle. Cattle breeds' distribution indicated 73% Bunaji (White Fulani) breed. 11% Sokoto Gudali, 9% crosses, 5% Bunaji/crosses and 2% Bunaji/Sokoto Gudali. Age distribution of cattle showed 1- 5years represents 6%, 6-10 years represents 69%, 10-15years represents 25%.

Table 2. Demographic structure of cattle herds in Oyo state, Nigeria

Variables	Categories	Frequency	Percentage
	1	220	55%
	2	64	16%
Herd number	3	44	11%
	Above 3	72	18%
	Less than 10 animals	48	12%
	10-20animals	24	6%
Herd size	20-30animals	40	10%
	30-40animals	36	9%
	40-50animals	52	13%
	Above 50animals	200	50%
	White Fulani	292	73%
	Sokoto Gudali	44	11%
	Crosses	36	9%
Breed of cattle	White Fulani and Crosses	20	5%
	White Fulani and Gudali	8	2%
Age	1- 5years	24	6%
	5-10years	276	69%
	10-15years	100	25%
Weight	50-100kg	4	1%
-	200-300kg	36	9%
	300-400kg	360	90%

The herds' demographic structure of the respondents is also similar to what have been described by various authors (Olafadehan and Adewumi, 2010). A standard herd of cattle is around one hundred heads of cattle. However, due to unrestricted grazing system, overpopulation of animals, shortage in grazing area owning to deforestation, land use conflict and the

scourge of dry season, herd splitting is done in order to allow for adequate grazing.

Table 3 reveals knowledge and perception of cattle breeders about bovine dermatophilosis in Oyo state. 100% of the herders from all the four zones have heard and were generally aware of dermatophilosis.

Awareness, knowledge, perception and drug usage practices amongst cattle

Table 3. Awareness and knowledge of cattle breeders on bovine dermatophilosis in Oyo state, Nigeria

Questions	Options	Frequency	Percentage
Respondents that have heard about dermatophilosis	Yes	400	100%
	No	0	0%
Respondents that have awareness about the treatment of	Yes	396	99%
the disease	No	4	1%
Number of respondents that know the local name for the	Yes	400	100%
disease	No	0	0%
Respondents' knowledge regarding treatment option	Yes	396	99%
	No	4	1%
Prevalence reported by the respondents	2%	236	59%
1 7 1	5%	108	27%
	10%	56	14%
	>10%	0	0%
Types of dermatophilosis as reported by the respondents	Generalized	4	1%
	Northern/dorsal	32	8%
	Southern/ventral	364	91%
Respondents that reported tick bite as a predisposing factor	Yes	400	100%
	No	0	0
Respondents that reported lashing with whip or beating	Yes	16	4%
with stick as a risk factor	No	384	96%
Respondents that reported fly worries or perking bird as	Yes	84	21%
risk factor	No	316	79%
Respondents that reported poor hygiene a risk factor	Yes	60	15%
	No	340	85%
Respondents that reported grazing animal where there are	Yes	16	4%
tall sharp pasture/trees stand as risk factor	No	384	96%
Respondents that agreed the condition is a herd problem	Yes	48	12%
	No	340	85%
	Unsure	12	3%
Respondents that agreed the disease is dangerous to human	Yes	12	3%
(zoonotic)	No	388	97%
Mode of transmission as reported by the respondents	Contact	4	1%
	Ingestion	0	0
	Unsure	396	99%
Number of respondents that perceived feeding as a risk	Yes	208	52%
factor	No	176	44%
	Unsure	16	4%

Across the study locations, 99% indicated that they had knowledge regarding treatment options available for bovine dermatophilosis.

Tick bite was considered as a predisposing and causal factor of the disease by 100% of the respondents; 96% do not consider lashing with whip or beating with stick as risk factor to bovine dermatophilosis. Also, 21% considered fly worries or perking bird; 15% considered poor hygiene and 4% considered grazing animals where there are tall sharp pasture/trees as risk factors for bovine dermatophilosis. Only 3% of the respondents considered bovine dermatophilosis as dangerous to human (zoonotic). As regard the mode of transmission, 1% considered skin contact with the lesion as the mode of transmission, none of them

considered ingestion as the mode of transmission while 99% were unaware. The high awareness of bovine dermatophilosis as a cattle disease by cattle breeders in Oyo state as revealed by this study may be due to long period of existence of the disease in Oyo state and Nigeria in general as the disease was first reported in 1927 in Nigeria (Henderson, 1927). The widespread awareness may also be due to serious economic losses associated with the disease as previously described (Oduye, 1976; Lloyd, 1976).

An overall 3.93% prevalence of dermatophilosis reported by the respondents is lower than the Wet season prevalence of dermatophilosis infection in cattle from Northern Nigeria as reported to be 12% as described by (Bida, 1975) and also lower than

prevalence of 10% in draught cattle described by (Lloyd, 1976).

Also, the fact that all the respondents (100%) considered tick bite as one of the predisposing factors to dermatophilosis indicating high awareness of the disease. However, there is a defect in their knowledge as all the respondents claimed ticks are the causative agent of the disease. The level of knowledge about specific issues related to the disease was substantially lower than the general level of awareness. A similar trend was reported in Zimbabwe, that there is a decrease in knowledge when specific issues were asked about zoonotic diseases (Mosalagae *et al.*, 2010).

On zoonotic importance, 97% considered bovine dermatophilosis not to be zoonotic, as they believe that diseases of cattle are entirely different from human diseases. This is in accordance with the findings of other authors (Chikerema *et al.*, 2013; pfukenyi *et al.*, 2010; Tebug *et al.*, 2014; Ndhlovu and Masika,2016).

Table 4 indicates attitude and practices about management of bovine dermatophilosis and drugs usage. About 11% sought for veterinarian intervention, 49% engage in self-treatment while 40% use both veterinarian intervention and medication. However, 97% of the respondents have used antibiotics to treat the disease while 3% have never used antibiotics to treat the disease. Antibiotics of the categories of tetracycline, penicillin and ivermectin groups were reportedly used to treat bovine dermatophilosis; of these 8% of the respondent used tetracycline alone, 16% penicillin alone, and 18% used ivermectin alone while 11 % of the respondents have used combination of tetracycline, penicillin and ivermectin. On adherence to manufacturer's instruction, 94% did not adhere to manufacturer instruction while 2% were unaware. As regards taking withdrawal period into consideration only 4% took it into consideration and 92% were unaware. Importantly, 96% of the respondents considered the drugs to be effective while 2% of the respondents were unaware of the effectiveness. Also, 99% of the respondents considered the disease as

curable while all the respondents (100%) considered the disease seldomly occurring in their herd.

The usages of ivermectin, tetracycline and penicillin as the most commonly used antibiotics reported by most respondents was not in agreement with the reports of Hamid and Musa, (2009) who reported penicillin, streptomycin and dihydrostreptomycin as mainly used for treatment of bovine dermatophilosis; and gentamycin as the most effective. However, the use of tetracycline and penicillin was consistent with findings by Adesokan et al., (2015) but the widespread use of ivermectin observed in our study for the treatment of dermatophilosis has not been previously reported while none of the respondents reported the use of gentamycin as reported by Hamid and Musa, (2009). Moreover, the inappropriate use of drugs like ivermectin by the breeders in this study was consistent with the findings of Peeling and Holden (2004) who reported widespread use of drugs by producers on the basis of clinical signs without the necessary advice from trained personnel. Majority of the respondents agreed to use antibiotics without recommendations or instructions from veterinarian but rather depending on information or advice from fellow breeders, drug sellers of the same tribe or interpolate from what they have seen veterinarian use for treatment in the past. The practice of inappropriate use of drugs may be due to their high level of illiteracy, poor knowledge, inadequate/unavailability of trained veterinarian, lack/ inadequate policies and poor enforcement of policies about usage of antibiotics and drugs on food animals. In addition, most respondents claimed that the drugs were effective but also acceded to sell/cull infected cattle due to frustration from treatment failure or to prevent the spread of the disease in the herd. The treatment failure can be attributed to the inappropriate use of drugs (under dosing, wrong use of drugs, wrong route of administration and non-adherence to specified regimen) which is widely practiced by majority of the breeders and may predisposes to antibiotics resistance or antibiotic residue. Also, low level of knowledge about specific practices, poor attitude and perceptions of most respondents about dermatophilosis may predispose the populace to drug resistance and anaphylactic reaction in certain individuals.

Awareness, knowledge, perception and drug usage practices amongst cattle

Table 4. Cattle breeder's knowledge and attitude about drugs use practices and management of dermatophilosis in Oyo state, Nigeria

Number of respondents that have sold/culled animal positive to chronic dermatophilosis and positive to chronic dermatop	in Oyo state, Nigeria				
Animal positive to chronic dermatophilosis   Unsure	Questions		Fr	requency	Percentage
Unsure	Number of respondents that have sold/culled	Yes		368	92%
Vet intervention	animal positive to chronic dermatophilosis	No		28	
Self-treatment   196   49%   196   49%   196   196   49%   196   196   196   49%   196				4	1%
Management options as reported by the respondents   Vet Intervention and Self   160   40%		Vet intervention		44	11%
Treatment		Self-treatment		196	49%
Orthodox	Management options as reported by the	Vet Intervention and	Self	160	40%
Herbal/ethnoveterinary   0	respondents	Treatment			
Self-limiting					
Antibiotics usage as reported by the respondents that adhere/use according to manufacturer instruction  Number of respondents that adhere/use according to manufacturer instruction  Number of respondents that adhare/use according to manufacturer instruction  Number of respondents that adhare/use according to manufacturer instruction  Number of respondents that adhare/use according to manufacturer instruction  No 376 94%  Unsure 8 2%  Ves 16 4%  No 376 94%  Unsure 8 2%  Fiffectiveness of the drugs as reported by the respondents administer antibiotics before recovery  Above 5 times 16 4%  Period of treatment as reported by the respondents administer antibiotics before recovery  Period of treatment as reported by the respondents that have Knowledge about the causes and treatment of the disease  No 4 19%  Number of respondents that considered the disease curable  Number of respondents that considered the disease curable  No 4 19%  Number of respondents that considered the disease curable  No 4 19%  Very often 0 0 0  Ottoological and ivomec 72 18%  Tetracycline and penicillin 64 11%  Period ivomec 72 18%  Period of treatment as reported by the respondents that considered the disease 116 29%  No 8 2%  Ves 384 96%  Period of treatment as reported by the respondents that have Knowledge about the causes and treatment of the disease 116 29%  No 8 8 2%  No 8 9 2%  Very often 0 0 0					0
Period entibiotics commonly used   12   3%		Self-limiting			0
Type of antibiotics commonly used  Type of antibiotics commonly used  Female IIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIII	Antibiotics usage as reported by the				
Type of antibiotics commonly used	respondents	No		12	3%
Penicillin   G4					
Gentamycin   10		Tetracycline			8%
Ivomec	Type of antibiotics commonly used	Penicillin		64	16%
Tetracycline and penicillin   44   11%   Tetracycline and Ivomec   56   14%   Penicillin and Ivomec   88   22%   Tetracycline, penicillin and   44   11%		Gentamycin			
Tetracycline and Ivomec   S6   14%   Penicillin and ivomec   88   22%					
Penicillin and ivomec   Tetracycline, penicillin and   44   11%					
Tetracycline, penicillin and information into into into into into into into		Tetracycline and Ivomec			
Number of respondents that adhere/use according to manufacturer instruction   No   376   94%					
Number of respondents that adhere/use according to manufacturer instruction			and	44	11%
No					
Unsure   S   2%					
Number of respondents that always take withdrawal period into consideration         Yes         16         4%           withdrawal period into consideration         No         16         4%           Effectiveness of the drugs as reported by the respondents         Yes         384         96%           Effectiveness of the drugs as reported by the respondents         No         8         2%           Unsure         8         2%           Unsure         8         2%           Unsure         36         9%           antibiotics before recovery         3         76         19%           4         44         11%           5         Above 5 times         116         29%           Unsure         48         12%           Period of treatment as reported by the respondents         1 week         40         10%           4 weeks         30         9%           4 weeks         80         20%           Above 5 weeks         160         40%           Unsure         44         11%           Number of respondents that have Knowledge about the causes and treatment of the disease         Yes         388         97%           No         4         1%	according to manufacturer instruction				
withdrawal period into consideration         No Unsure         16 Unsure         4% Unsure           Effectiveness of the drugs as reported by the respondents         Yes         384         96%           Insure         8         2%           Unsure         8         2%           Unsure         8         2%           Number of time respondents administer antibiotics before recovery         2         36         9%           About 5 times         116         4%           4         44         11%           5         64         16%           Above 5 times         116         29%           Unsure         48         12%           Period of treatment as reported by the respondents         1 week         40         10%           1 weeks         40         10%         3 weeks         36         9%           4 weeks         80         20%         40         10%           Number of respondents that have Knowledge about the causes and treatment of the disease         No         8         2%           Unsure         4         1%           Number of respondents that considered the disease curable         No         4         1%           Level of occurrence in the herd as					
Effectiveness of the drugs as reported by the respondents  Effectiveness of the drugs as reported by the respondents    No					
Effectiveness of the drugs as reported by the respondents  No  No  8  2%  Unsure  8  2%  1  1  16  4%  Number of time respondents administer antibiotics before recovery  Above 5 times  Unsure  44  44  411%  Feriod of treatment as reported by the respondents  No  Number of respondents that have Knowledge about the causes and treatment of the disease  Unsure  Very often  No  Very often  Often	withdrawal period into consideration				
No	T200 1 0 1 1 1				
Unsure					
Number of time respondents administer 2 36 9% antibiotics before recovery 3 76 19% 4 44 11% 5 64 16% Above 5 times Unsure 48 12% Period of treatment as reported by the respondents 4 1 week 40 10% 3 weeks 3 6 9% 4 weeks 80 20% Above 5 weeks 160 40% Unsure 44 11% Number of respondents that have Knowledge about the causes and treatment of the disease Wood 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10%	respondents				
Number of time respondents administer antibiotics before recovery         3         76         19%           antibiotics before recovery         4         44         11%           4         44         11%           5         64         16%           Above 5 times         116         29%           Unsure         48         12%           Period of treatment as reported by the respondents         1 week         40         10%           2 weeks         40         10%           3 weeks         36         9%           4 weeks         80         20%           Above 5 weeks         160         40%           Unsure         44         11%           Number of respondents that have Knowledge about the causes and treatment of the disease         No         8         2%           Number of respondents that considered the disease curable         No         4         1%           Level of occurrence in the herd as reported by the respondents         Very often         0         0           Often         0         0         0           Seldom         400         100%					
antibiotics before recovery 3 3 76 19% 4 44 11% 5 64 16% Above 5 times 116 29% Unsure 48 12% Period of treatment as reported by the respondents 40 10% 1 week 40 10% 2 weeks 40 10% 3 weeks 36 9% 4 weeks 80 20% Above 5 weeks 160 40% Unsure 44 11% Number of respondents that have Knowledge about the causes and treatment of the disease No 8 2%  No 8 2%  Unsure 4 11%  Ves 388 97% No 8 2%  Unsure 4 11%  Ves 396 99%  Unsure 4 11%  Ves 396 99%  Very often 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		_			
4					
Solution	antibiotics before recovery				
Above 5 times		•			
Number of respondents that have Knowledge about the causes and treatment of the disease curable   Unsure   Unsure   48   12%   1 week   40   10%   10%   2 weeks   40   10%   3 weeks   36   9%   4 weeks   80   20%   Above 5 weeks   160   40%   Unsure   44   11%					
Period of treatment as reported by the respondents  Period of treatment as reported by the respondents  I week  2 weeks  36  9%  4 weeks  80  20%  Above 5 weeks  160  44  11%  Number of respondents that have Knowledge about the causes and treatment of the disease  No  Unsure  Ves  388  97%  No  8  2 weeks  4 ueeks  80  20%  Above 5 weeks  160  40%  Unsure  44  11%  Number of respondents that considered the disease  No  8  2 weeks  4 veeks  80  20%  Above 5 weeks  160  40%  11%  11%  11%  11%  11%  11%  11					
respondents         2 weeks         40         10%           3 weeks         36         9%           4 weeks         80         20%           Above 5 weeks         160         40%           Unsure         44         11%           Number of respondents that have Knowledge about the causes and treatment of the disease         Yes         388         97%           No         8         2%           Unsure         4         1%           Number of respondents that considered the disease curable         Yes         396         99%           Level of occurrence in the herd as reported by the respondents         Very often         0         0           Often         0         0         0           Seldom         400         100%	Daried of treatment as reported by the				
3 weeks   36   9%   4 weeks   80   20%   Above 5 weeks   160   40%   Unsure   44   11%					
A weeks	respondents				
Number of respondents that have Knowledge about the causes and treatment of the disease    Ves   388   97%   70%					
Number of respondents that have Knowledge about the causes and treatment of the disease No 8 97%  Number of respondents that considered the disease curable Level of occurrence in the herd as reported by the respondents that considered the Seldom 100%  Unsure 4 1%  Yes 396 99%  No 4 1%  Very often 0 0  Often 0 0  Seldom 400 100%					
Number of respondents that have Knowledge about the causes and treatment of the disease No 8 2%  Unsure 4 1%  Number of respondents that considered the disease curable No 4 1%  Level of occurrence in the herd as reported by the respondents 100 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0					
about the causes and treatment of the disease No 8 2%  Unsure 4 1%  Number of respondents that considered the disease curable 1 No 4 1%  Level of occurrence in the herd as reported by the respondents 1 No 1 N	Number of respondents that have Knowledge				
Number of respondents that considered the disease curable					
Number of respondents that considered the disease curable  Level of occurrence in the herd as reported by the respondents  No  Very often Often Often Seldom  396 99% 4 1% 0 0 0 0 0 100%	about the causes and treatment of the disease	110		O	270
disease curable No 4 1% Level of occurrence in the herd as reported by the respondents Very often 0 0 Often 0 0 Seldom 400 100%		Unsure		4	1%
Level of occurrence in the herd as reported by the respondents  Very often Often 0 0 0 0 100%		Yes		396	
the respondents Often 0 0 Seldom 400 100%				4	1%
the respondents Often 0 0 Seldom 400 100%	Level of occurrence in the herd as reported by	Very often		0	0
				0	0
		Seldom		400	100%
		None			

This may be due to the inadequate number of veterinary personnel and facilities in the rural areas and less strict laws on use and handling of veterinary drugs which avail the breeder's unrestricted access to drugs.

It was also observed that the knowledge of ethno veterinary treatment is gradually fading as majority of the respondents claimed not to know any ethno veterinary treatment for bovine dermatophilosis. Those who claimed to have knowledge about the ethno veterinary treatment do not know the common name for the herbs but can recognise it when they see it. This may be due to the failure of the elders to pass the knowledge across to the younger ones, nondocumentation of the knowledge and advent of veterinary drugs which are most times more effective and efficient. Other treatment options practised by the respondents include the application of gentian violet, black engine oil, mixture of salt and shear butter and the use of hot iron compress to limit and debride the lesion.

#### Conclusion

We therefore conclude that breeders in Oyo state Nigeria of generally aware bovine dermatophilosis, but they possessed low/inadequate knowledge of most epidemiological factors of the disease. The breeders' indulge in inappropriate use and abuse of antimicrobial drugs, these demand for urgent intervention by the stakeholders in order to prevent antimicrobial resistance and drug residues which are of great public health challenge. We recommend improvement in training, enlightenment and advocacy on the epidemiological factors and zoonotic importance of bovine dermatophilosis by the various stakeholders.

#### Acknowledgement

Authors wish to acknowledge the breeders in the four geopolitical zones of Oyo state for participating in the study. The authors also wish to appreciate specially the chairman of the Miyetti Allah Cattle Breeders Association of Nigeria (MACBAN) Oyo state chapter, Alhaji Yaqub Bello. We also appreciate the interpreter Mr Abdulahi for his role during this survey.

### **Funding**

The study was self-sponsored by the authors, no external funds received for the study.

#### Conflict of interest

Authors declare no conflicts of interest

#### References

- Abiola JO, Olaogun SC, Moshood RA, Socio-Demographic Structure and Constraints of Smallholder Dairy Farmers in Oyo State, Nigeria. International Journal of Livestock Research 2019: 9(7):14-23
- 2. Adesokan HK, Akanbi IO, Akanbi IM, Obaweda RA. Pattern of antimicrobial usage in livestock animals in south-western Nigeria: The need for alternative plans. Onderstepoort Journal of Veterinary Research. 2015;82(1):01-6.
- 3. Ajayi OS, Ibikunle SB. Radioactivity of surface soils from Oyo state, South Western Nigeria. International Journal of Radiation Research. 2013: 1;11(4):271.
- Amor, Aranzazu, Ana Enríquez, Maria Teresa Corcuera, Carlos Toro, Dolores Herrero, and Margarita Baquero. "Is infection by Dermatophilus congolensis underdiagnosed?." Journal of clinical microbiology 2011:49(1): 449-451.
- 5. Awad WS, Nadra-Elwgoud MI, El-Sayed AA. Diagnosis and treatment of bovine, ovine and equine dermatophilosis. Journal of Applied Sciences Research. 2008;4(4):367-74.
- 6. Bida SA. Seasonal prevalence of Bovine Dermatophilosis in Northern Nigeria. Bullentin of International. Epizootiology, 1975: 83: 1131-1138.
- 7. Cadmus SIB, Adesokan HK. Causes and implications of bovine organs/offal condemnations in some abattoirs in Western Nigeria', Tropical Animal Health and Production 2009:41:1455–1463.
- 8. Chikerema SM, Matope G, Pfukenyi DM. Awareness and attitude toward zoonoses with particular reference to anthrax among cattle owners in selected rural communities of Zimbabwe. Vector-Borne and Zoonotic Diseases. 2013;13(4):243-249.
- 9. Dalis JS, Kazeem HM, Makinde AA, Fatihu MY. Bacteria associated with bovine

- dermatophilosis in Zaria, Nigeria. African Journal of Microbiology Research. 2010;4(14):1475-1479.
- 10. Dean AG, Sullivan KM, Soe MM. OpenEpi: open source epidemiologic statistics for public health, version.
- 11. Dejene B, Ayalew B, Tewodros F, Mersha C. Occurrence of Bovine Dermatophilosis in Ambo Town, West Shoa Administrative Zone, Ethiopia. American-Eurasian Journal of Scientific Research, 2012:7: 172- 175.
- 12. Hamid ME, Musa MS. The treatment of bovine dermatophilosis and its effect on some haematological and blood chemical parameters. Rev. Sci. Tech. 2009 Dec 1; 28:1111-8.
- 13. Henderson WW. Annual Report of the Veterinary Department, Northern Provinces, Nigeria, (1927) pp: 29.
- 14. Iliyasu D, Omonike OS. Consequences of chronic dermatophilosis on semen quality and reproductive performance of friesian bull in multipurpose farm in Zaria, Nigeria. International Journal of Livestock Research. 2015;5(6):46-53.
- 15. Iro I. Fulani herding system. Washington, African Development Foundation. 1994:6-12.
- 16. Kumar R, Tripathi H. Impact of Cattle Development on Socio-Economic Profile of Dairy Farmers. Indian Veterinary Journal. 2012 t;89(10):36.
- 17. Lloyd DH. Economic effects of bovine streptothricosis. In Dermatophilus Infection in Animals & Man; Proceedings of an International Symposium, 1976.
- 18. Mosalagae D, Pfukenyi DM, Matope G. Milk producers' awareness of milk-borne zoonoses in selected smallholder and commercial dairy farms of Zimbabwe. Tropical animal health and production. 2011;43(3):733-9.
- Ndhlovu DN, Masika PJ. Bovine dermatophilosis: Awareness, perceptions and attitudes in the small-holder sector of north-west Zimbabwe. Onderstepoort Journal of Veterinary Research. 2016;83(1):1-7.
- 20. Nyaguthii DM, Armson B, Kitala PM, Sanz-Bernardo B, Di Nardo A, Lyons NA. Knowledge and risk factors for foot-and-mouth disease among small-scale dairy farmers in an endemic setting. Veterinary research. 2019;50(1):33.

- 21. Oduye OO, Bovine Streptothricosis in Nigeria Dermatophilus Infection in Animals and Man. In:Lloyd, D.H. and K.C. Sellers (Eds.). Academic Press London, 1976. pp: 2-16
- 22. Ogwu D, Osori DI, Kumi-Diaka J. Bovine streptothricosis and reproduction in Northern Nigeria: A case study. Theriogenology. 1981:15(5):469-75.
- 23. Oladunni FS, Oyekunle MA, Talabi AO, Ojo OE, Takeet MI, Adam M, Raufu IA. Dermatophilus congolensis Infection-An Appraisal of Three Different Diagnostic Techniques in a Study Conducted in Abeokuta, Nigeria. International Journal of Livestock Research. 2015;5(9):27-35.
- 24. Olafadehan OA, Adewumi MK. Livestock management and production system of agropastoralists in the derived savanna of Southwest Nigeria. Tropical and Subtropical Agroecosystems, 2010:12(3):685-691.
- Olaogun SC, Onwuzuruike KJ. Incidence and biochemical parameters of dermatophilosis in Nigerian cattle breeds from livestock markets, Oyo state, Nigeria. Open veterinary journal. 2018;8(1):35-9.
- 26. Oyekunle MA, Talabi AO. Control strategies for bovine dermatophilosis with particular reference to Nigeria. Nigerian Journal of Animal Production. 2013;40(2):224-8.
- 27. Peeling D, Holden S. The effectiveness of community-based animal health workers, for the poor, for communities and for public safety. Revue scientifique et technique-Office international des épizooties. 2004;23(1):253-76.
- 28. Pfukenyi DM, Chipunga SL, Dinginya L, Matenga E. A survey of pet ownership, awareness and public knowledge of pet zoonoses with particular reference to roundworms and hookworms in Harare, Zimbabwe. Tropical animal health and production. 2010;42(2):247-52.
- 29. Quinn PJ, Markey BK, Carter EM, Donnelly JW, Leonard CF. Veterinary Microbiology and Microbial Disease. USA: Black Well Publishing Company: 2002: Pp. 69-70.
- 30. Shittu M. Management of Nomadic Cattle Production in Nigeria: An Alternative Approach in the Present Economic Milieu. In: Proceedings of the 22nd Annual Conference of the Nigeria

- Veterinary Medical Association, Vom:1985: Pp37-38.
- 31. Tebug S, Njunga GR, Chagunda MG, Mapemba JP, Awah-Ndukum J, Wiedemann S. Risk, knowledge and preventive measures of smallholder dairy farmers in northern Malawi
- with regard to zoonotic brucellosis and bovine tuberculosis. Onderstepoort Journal of Veterinary Research. 2014;81(1):01-6.
- 32. Yeruham I, Elad D, Perl S. Dermatophilosis in goats in the Judean foothills. Revue de médecine vétérinaire. 2003;154(12):785-8.